


**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES



TO: ☒ U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / ☐ U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE William O. Hayes
 FROM: M. Cruz Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 5/1/08
 CASE NO.: 08cv138-WQH-POR DOCUMENT FILED BY: Schneider Rucinski Enterprises
 CASE TITLE: Schneider Rucinski Enterprises v. Touch Asia Outsourcing Solutions, Inc. et al
 DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Plaintiff's Reply To Defendants Oppositions To Plaintiff's Three Ex Parte
Motions To Strike Answer And To Enter Default Of Defendant Colo 6 LLC

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local Rule	Discrepancy
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1	Missing table of contents
<input type="checkbox"/> 15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
<input type="checkbox"/> 30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
<input type="checkbox"/>	Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<u>OTHER: Case dismissed; all pending motions denied as moot</u>

Date forwarded: 5/5/08

ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- ☐ The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
- ☒ The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.

Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? ☐ Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers ☒

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1

CHAMBERS OF: WQH

Dated: 5/5/08
cc: All Parties

By: RBfigno

Noreen Rucinski

Dir. Strategic Business Development
Schneider Rucinski Enterprises
3344 N Mt. View Dr
San Diego CA 92116
619-282-7977
noreenr@cox.net
Plaintiff in Pro Per
Appearing *pro se*

REJECTED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

IN AND FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SCHNEIDER RUCINSKI ENTERPRISES,)

Plaintiff,)

vs.)

TOUCH ASIA OUTSOURCING)
SOLUTIONS, INC., dba TOUCH ASIA)
CALL CENTER, INC.; RUDY NGAW;)
STRATASOFT, INC. PACIFIC CALL,)
CENTERES; LANE MCCARTY)
individually and as an employee and/or)
agent of STRATASOFT, INC.; JASON)
PACE individually and as an employee)
and/or agent of STRATASOFT, INC.;)
MIKE BRIDGES individually and as an)
employee and/or agent of STRATASOFT,)
INC.; MICHEL BRIDGES, JR.,)
individually and as an employee and/or)
agent of STRATASOFT, INC.; INX, INC.,)
a Texas Corporation formerly known as I-)
SECTOR CORPORATION; COLO 6 LLC,)
a California Corporation, and NAVROZ)
HAJI, an individual, and DOES 1 through)
20, inclusive,)

Defendants.)

Case No.: 08 CV 0138 WQH POR

Date: May 27 2008

Time: 11 AM

Judge: Hon. William Q Hayes

PLAINTIFF'S REPLY TO DEFENDENTS
OPPOSITIONS TO PLAINTIFF'S THREE
EXPARTE MOTIONS TO STRIKE
ANSWER AND TO ENTER DEFAULT OF
DEFENDANT COLO 6. LLC DBA
USCOLO

PLAINTIFF'S REPLY TO DEFENDENTS OPPOSITIONS TO PLAINTIFF'S THREE EXP
ARTE MOTIONS TO STRIKE ANSWER AND TO ENTER DEFAULT OF DEFENDANT
COLO 6. LLC

The Plaintiff Schneider Rucinski Enterprises ("Plaintiff") reply's to opposition of
3 filed Motions, 29, 35, 37. Plaintiff filed appropriate Motions: